The following comment in a book was puzzling to me: "Recall the Hodge dual of the Maxwell tensor F. We could imagine a 'dual' U(1) gauge connection that has \*F as its bundle curvature rather than F."

But  $F_{ab}$  satisfies  $dF_{ab} = 0$ , which means that  $F_{ab}$  can be expressed as a derivative of a 1-form:  $F_{ab} = \partial A_b / \partial x^a - \partial A_a / \partial x^b.$ 

and the vector potential  $A_a$  gives you a gauge connection  $\nabla_a = \partial/\partial x^a - ieA_a$ . So  $F_{ab}$  is the

curvature of the connection,  $\nabla_a \nabla_b - \nabla_b \nabla_a = -ie(\partial A_b/\partial x^a - \partial A_a/\partial x^b)$ . But  $d^*F_{ab} = -\frac{*J}{\epsilon_0}$ . How can  $^*F$  be a gauge curvature when  $d^*F \neq 0$ ? If it were in empty space you could come up with a 1-form  $Z_a$  so that  ${}^*F_{ab} = \partial Z_b/\partial x^a - \partial Z_a/\partial x^b$ , that would give you an alternate bundle connection. Who knows what it would be a connection for!

Is the empty-space version of the Maxwell equations what you'd be using at the quantum level? Maybe all the interactions are being described explicitly so you wouldn't be using a charge-current vector. What do you think?